Секция «Социальная философия и философия истории»

The Social Philosophy Analysis of Mega Sport Events in the Process of Globalization: From Social Reproduction to Social Polarization

Чжоу Чжэньхуа

Postgraduate

Уральский федеральный университет имени первого Президента России Б.Н.Ельцина, Уральский гуманитарный институт, Екатеринбург, Россия *E-mail: 774853404@qq.com*

Entering the 21st century, mega sport event(MSE) are gradually being held frequently by various countries. Through the impact on the world economic structure, the closeness of various countries has been accelerated, and the globalization process has been accelerated(Knott B &Tinaz C,2022). Holding sports programs of different projects or comprehensive events (such as Championships, World Cup and Olympic Games) is regarded as a new way for many countries to stimulate economic and political development, and MSE are regarded as countries unanimously chosen by different countries in the process of globalization important element of development strategy. In the past ten years of research in related fields, various research and literature related to the holding, influence, heritage, and sustainable development of MSE have become a trend. Such as making important research contributions to mega event and national social development in the use of coupled systems(Biao He, et al., 2020). These research results provide the corresponding research basis for this research. Some scholars who study the Olympic Games and globalization-themed projects usually conduct quantitative research. In order to add new theories in this field, I added qualitative research.

Therefore, this exploratory research takes the MSE held in the past four years as the research object, using the field theory of sociologist Bourdieu, and the research contribution of social philosophy theorist Kemerov V.E.: social philosophy as a social process and social being/Social philosophy as a social process and as a socialization theory; Kerimov T.Kh.: Heterology, problem of another heterology (being) as the doctorine of becoming difference and plurality. Heterogeneity, another philosophical heterogeneity (being) problems exist as a wide range of differences and multiplicity. And Azarenko S. A.: Topology, being-together, bodility practices. Topology, interaction, bodility practices. Nikitin S. A.: Social phenomenology analysis, and other theoretical research methods of social philosophy to analysis how social phenomena from social reproduction to polarization are formed in the process of globalization.

The research results show that with the increase of large-scale events in the process of globalization, MSE in different levels have improved the international reputation and sports economy of the host country; As the social phenomenon from social reproduction to polarization to puts forward concepts and future research topics. The basic concepts involved in this study are composed of three disciplines, which are sports, globalization, social philosophy. After discussing the basic concepts in these three fields required by this study, the concept "EX-SPORT" based on the analysis of social philosophy is proposed, and a basic understanding is made for the study of MSE and globalization. The foundation of knowledge and basic logic. Future research topics need to redefine the concepts of globalization, MSE,sport, etc. The study is unique in that it highlights the importance of the social philosophical analysis that MSE promote the Influence and philosophical significance that from social reproduction to polarization, and thus contributes to the socio-philosophical understanding of different countries to host MSE in the context of globalization.

References

- 1) Knott B, Tinaz C. The Legacy of Sport Events for Emerging Nations. Front Sports Act Living. 2022 Jul 12;4:926334. doi: 10.3389/fspor.2022.926334.
- 2) He Biao, Lianxin Zhu, Xiaomei Cai, Jun (Justin) Li, and Hong Zhu. 2020. "Examining the Impacts of Mega-Events on Urban Development Using Coupling Analysis: A Case Study of the Boao Forum for Asia" Sustainability 12, no. 2: 730.https://doi.org/10.3390/su12020730
- 3) Chantelat, P. (1999). An Overview of Some Recent Rerspectives on the Soci-Economics of Sport. International Review for the Sociology of Sport, 34(1), 59–68. https://doi.org/10.1177/101269099034001005
- 4) Vaugrand,H.(2001).PIERRE BOURDIEU AND JEAN-MARIE BROHM: Their Schemes of Intelligibility and Issues towards a Theory of Knowledge in the Sociology of Sport. International Review for the Sociology of Sport,36(2),183–201. https://doi.org/10.1177/101269001036002004
- 5) Andranovich, G., Burbank, M. J., & Heying, C. H. (2002). Olympic cities: Lessons learned from mega-event poli-tics. Journal of Urban Affairs, 23(2), 113–131
- 6) Preuss, H. (2004). The Economics of Staging the Olympics: A comparison of the Games, 1972–008. Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar
- 7) Louw, A. M. (2012). Ambush marketing & the mega-event monopoly: How laws are abused to protect commercial rights. The Hague, The Netherlands: Asser Press
- 8) Mills, B. M., & Rosentraub, M. S. (2013). Hosting mega-events: A guide to the evaluation of development effects in integrated metropolitan regions. Tourism Management, 34(1), 238–246. doi:10.1016/j. tourman.2012.03.011
- 9) Deng H, Mancini M, Zhang L, & Bonino M (2020) Beijing 2022 between urban renovation and Olympic sporting legacy: the case of Shougang. Mov Sport Sci/Sci Mot, 107, 53–65
- 10) Gwartz, E., & Spence, K. (2020). Conscious capitalism and sport: Exploring higher purpose in a professional sport organization. Sport Management Review, 23(4), 750–763. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smr.2019.09.002
- 11) Martin Müller, Sven Daniel Wolfe, David Gogishvili, Christopher Gaffney, Miriam Hug & Annick Leick (2021): The mega-events database: systematising the evidence on mega-event outcomes, Leisure Studies, doi: 10.1080/02614367.2021.1998835
- 12) Hallgeir Gammelsaeter, Christos Anagnostopoulos. (2022) Sport management: mission and meaning for a new era. European Sport Management Quarterly 22:5, pages 637-642.
- 13) Justen O'Connor, Laura Alfrey, Dawn Penney. (2022) Rethinking the classification of games and sports in physical education: a response to changes in sport and participation. Physical Education and Sport Pedagogy 0:0, pages 1-14.
- 14) Beissel, Adam & Andrews, David. (2022). Sport, Globalization, and Glocalization.doi:10.1093/oxfordhb/9780197519011.013.11
- 15) Jim Parry. (2023) On the Definition of Sport. Sport, Ethics and Philosophy 17:1, pages 49-57.https://doi.org/10.1080/17511321.2022.2064538