

## Iran's Path to Diplomacy: Challenging the Unipolar Paradigm in Nuclear Negotiations

Научный руководитель – Belov Vladimir Ivanovich

*Ranjbar Daniyal*

*PhD*

Российский университет дружбы народов, Факультет гуманитарных и социальных наук,  
Москва, Россия

*E-mail: danielranjbar@gmail.com*

Iran's nuclear program, initiated in the 1950s and altered post-1979 Revolution, has long sparked global concern, particularly from Western powers wary of potential nuclear arms development. This scenario has led to complex diplomatic interactions and sanctions under the unipolar paradigm dominated by the United States. Iran's diplomatic strategies challenge this paradigm, asserting its autonomy against unipolar influences. The article analyzes Iran's tactics in nuclear negotiations since the early 2000s, including the JCPOA's rise and fall. It employs qualitative research and international relations theories to assess Iran's approach to global power dynamics [1].

Iran's journey in nuclear technology began in the 1950s under the Atoms for Peace initiative, with the U.S. significantly aiding the establishment of the Tehran Nuclear Research Center. Under Shah Mohammad Reza Pahlavi, this era was characterized by a push for modernization, with nuclear energy symbolizing progress and national pride [2].

The 1979 Iranian Revolution marked a drastic shift. The new Islamic regime, led by Ayatollah Khomeini, inherited this program. Despite initial setbacks due to the withdrawal of Western support, Iran's commitment to self-reliance transformed these challenges into opportunities, particularly during the Iran-Iraq War in the 1980s, where the nuclear program symbolized resistance and national security [3].

The 2000s saw escalating tensions, especially after President Bush's "Axis of Evil" speech in 2002, which cast Iran's nuclear intentions in a negative light. Under President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad, significant advancements in nuclear technology were made, reinforcing Iran's stance on its program as a symbol of sovereignty and scientific progress [4].

The 2015 JCPOA, under President Hassan Rouhani, was a diplomatic milestone, challenging the unipolar world order. It was seen as a validation of Iran's right to peaceful nuclear technology, balanced with international oversight. This agreement represented Iran's ability to negotiate on an equal footing with global powers. The U.S. withdrawal from the JCPOA in 2018 under President Trump was a setback, but Iran's response showcased its resilience. Iran upheld the treaty's spirit by reducing its commitments while remaining open to negotiations, positioning the U.S. as the violator of international norms [2,5].

Throughout these developments, Iran's nuclear program has been a path of self-determination, national pride, and resistance against a unipolar world order that often limits emerging nations' technological and political autonomy. Iran's persistent development of its nuclear program amidst international pressure reflects its aspiration for global recognition and respect as a sovereign, technologically advanced nation. The unipolar paradigm in international relations, with the United States as the dominant power post-Cold War, has shaped global politics and economics. This paradigm often involves unilateral actions, impacting the aspirations of smaller states. Iran's nuclear program, pursued independently, represents a significant challenge to this unipolar world order. Despite sanctions and isolation, Iran's commitment to this program

exemplifies defiance against unipolar dominance and a quest for sovereignty and technological progress [6].

Iran's nuclear ambitions are more than energy autonomy; they symbolize resistance to a world order that constrains the growth of emerging powers. By advancing its nuclear capabilities, Iran positions itself as resilient to unipolar pressures, seeking global recognition. Particularly during the JCPOA negotiations, Iran's diplomatic strategy challenged unipolarity by engaging equally with major powers, advocating its interests, and securing concessions. This approach deviated from the usual narrative where smaller states yield to superpower demands. The U.S. withdrawal from the JCPOA under President Trump's administration underscored the unpredictability and dominance of the unipolar model. However, Iran's strategic response, a mix of reducing JCPOA commitments and maintaining diplomatic dialogue, illustrated its stance against unipolarity, emphasizing multilateralism and international law. Iran's persistent pursuit of nuclear rights and resistance to unipolar pressure signals a shift towards a more multipolar global order, where diverse states assert their sovereignty and rights. Iran's approach, seeking strategic autonomy and challenging hegemonic dominance, advocates for a more balanced global power structure [7].

Iran's diplomatic strategies, particularly its nuclear program, exhibit resilience and adaptability amid international challenges. These strategies showcase Iran's ability to balance relations with adversaries and allies. Iran has effectively used international platforms like the United Nations and the Non-Aligned Movement, framing its nuclear goals within the context of national sovereignty and scientific progress. This approach has garnered support from many developing nations [8].

The JCPOA negotiations underscore Iran's diplomatic skill, balancing assertiveness with concessions. Iran emphasizes cooperation and leadership in regional politics, intertwining this with its global diplomacy. Post-JCPOA, Iran's phased non-compliance strategy demonstrates a balance between resilience and openness to future dialogue. Iran's approach reflects a nuanced understanding of geopolitics, emphasizing multilateralism and strategic alliances to assert its place globally [9].

The provided case studies effectively showcase Iran's diplomatic strategies:

- Tehran Declaration (2003): Iran agreed to suspend nuclear activities and allow IAEA monitoring but declined further European demands. This led to accusations and sanctions from European countries and the UN [10].
- Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action (JCPOA) (2015): This landmark agreement, resulting from protracted negotiations with P5+1 countries, marked a diplomatic triumph for Iran, balancing its nuclear rights with international oversight [11].
- Reaction to US Withdrawal from the JCPOA (2018): Iran's strategic patience and calibrated non-compliance following the U.S. withdrawal demonstrated a commitment to diplomacy and multilateralism.
- Membership of the Shanghai Cooperation Organization (SCO) (2021): Joining the SCO signified Iran's strategic diversification in diplomacy, strengthening ties with regional players and endorsing multilateralism [12].

These cases underline Iran's adeptness in navigating complex diplomatic landscapes, protecting national interests, and challenging unipolar world order constraints.

As illustrated through various case studies, the analysis of Iran's diplomatic strategies showcases a sophisticated approach that effectively challenges the unipolar world order. Iran's resilience and adaptability in the face of international pressures, exemplified in its nuclear program negotiations, reveal a deep understanding of global power dynamics. The country has

strategically asserted its sovereignty and rights, mainly through the JCPOA and its response to the U.S. withdrawal. Iran's membership in the SCO and engagement with multiple international platforms further indicate a move towards a multipolar global order. Balancing national interests with global responsibility sets a precedent for other nations in similar situations, signaling a shift towards more diverse and autonomous international relations.

### References

- 1) Hadian N. Iran's nuclear program: plurality of viewpoints and discourse contexts // Journal of Faculty of Law and Political Sciences. 2008. Vol. 40, № 1. P. 355–374.
- 2) Iran's nuclear program over time [Электронный ресурс]. URL: <https://www.ecoiran.com/fa/tiny/news-39302> (дата обращения: 08.01.2024).
- 3) The Iraq War [Electronic resource]. URL: <https://www.georgewbushlibrary.gov/research/topic-guides/the-iraq-war> (дата обращения: 08.01.2024).
- 4) Nogmov A. Yadernaya programma Irana: ideologicheskij aspekt // Vestnik Rossijskogo universiteta družby narodov. Seriya: Mezhdunarodnye otnosheniya. — №1/2013. — P. 34–38.
- 5) Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action. Electronic resource. URL: <https://documents-dds-ny.un.org/doc/UNDOC/GEN/N15/225/49/PDF/N1522549.pdf?OpenElement> (date of access: 08.01.2024).
- 6) Saruhanyan S. Yadernyj vybor Irana. Obsuzhdenie nacional'noj yadernoj programmy i ee celesoobraznosti v Irane // 21-j vek. 2005. № 1. P. 12–26.
- 7) Majrambekov SH. M. Vozniknovenie I Razvitie Yadernoj Programmy Irana // Mezhdunarodnyj zhurnal gumanitarnyh i estestvennyh nauk. 2021. № 1. P. 42–45.
- 8) Borszik O. International sanctions against Iran and Tehran's responses: political effects on the targeted regime // Contemporary Politics. 2015. Vol, 22. № 1. P. 20-39.
- 9) Ahouie M. Exploring President Rouhani's Foreign Policy Doctrine 2013-2017 // Contemporary Gulf Studies. 2020. P. 15-41.
- 10) Belov V. I., Ranjbar D. Analysis of Iran's Behavior Under Sanction Pressure // The Herald of the Diplomatic Academy of the MFA of Russia. Russia and the World. 2023. Vol. 36, № 2. P. 111-122.
- 11) Timeline of Nuclear Diplomacy with Iran / Arms Control Association // Armscontrol.org. [official website]. — 2022.— URL: <https://www.armscontrol.org/factsheets/Timeline-of-Nuclear-Diplomacy-With-Iran> (accessed: 27.04.2023).
- 12) New Delhi Declaration of the Council of Heads of State of Shanghai Cooperation Organization. Electronic resource. URL: <http://eng.sectsco.org/load/948725/> (date of access: 20.08.2023).